

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,281	02/09/2001	Ikuo Nakamura	112857-200	8042
29175 7:	590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			RYMAN, DANIEL J	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200:	DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

;							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/780,281	NAKAMURA, IKUO				
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel J. Ryman	2665				
Period for Re	• •						
THE MAIL  - Extensions after SIX (6  - If the period  - If NO period  - Failure to re Any reply re earned pate	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stoceived by the Office later than three months after the month term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, in reply within the statutory minimum riod will apply and will expire SIX (faute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
•	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>						
, <del></del>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
clos	ed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex рапе Quayle, 193	) C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 215.				
Disposition o	f Claims						
4a) ( 5)	m(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicant the above claim(s) is/are with m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideratio					
Application F	apers						
9)⊠ The	specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2001 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or	o)  objected to by the Examiner.				
, ,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	acement drawing sheet(s) including the co oath or declaration is objected to by the		awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Ackr a)⊠ Al 1.⊠ 2.⊑ 3.⊑	nowledgment is made of a claim for force    b)	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage .				
Attachment(s)							
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE s)/Mail Date <u>7</u> .	7 3/08) 5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 11 "set" should be "sent".

  Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 11, line 25 "(4 and 0 to 9)" should be "(4 and 6 to 9)".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shteyn (USPN 6,199,136) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art in further view of Tobias, II et al. (USPN 5,530,859).
- Regarding claims 1, 11, and 12, Shteyn discloses a controlling apparatus for exchanging an information signal among a plurality of electronic devices through a network system, comprising: a control information obtaining part (device control module) for obtaining control information (self describing data) from the plurality of electronic devices, the control information allowing the plurality of electronic devices to be controlled (col. 3, line 51-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 26-42; and col. 4, line 53-col. 5, line 1).

Art Unit: 2665

Shteyn does not expressly disclose a time setting function determining part for determining whether the plurality electronic devices have a time setting function corresponding to the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part. However, Shteyn does disclose a function determining part (DCM) for determining whether the plurality electronic devices have a function corresponding to the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part (col. 3, line 42-col. 4, line 19; col. 4, lines 26-42; and col. 4, line 53-col. 5, line 1). Applicant teaches as prior art that some devices in HAVi use a time compensating function while others do not (pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a time setting function determining part for determining whether the plurality electronic devices have a time setting function corresponding to the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part in order for the controller to determine if the device needs to have a clock set.

Shteyn in view of Applicant does not expressly disclose a time information obtaining part for obtaining time information and a time information setting part for setting the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to each of the electronic devices determined as devices having the time setting function by the time setting function determining part. Tobias teaches, in a system for synchronizing audio and video information (col. 8, lines 62-65), using a time information obtaining part for obtaining time information (col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 35 and col. 7, lines 51-64); and a time information setting part for setting the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to each of the electronic devices determined as devices having a time setting function (col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 12 and col. 7, lines 29-35) in order to synchronize the timing of devices in a flexible manner (col. 6, line 66-

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281

Art Unit: 2665

col. 7, line 21). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a time information obtaining part for obtaining time information and a time information setting part for setting the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to each of the electronic devices determined as devices having the time setting function by the time setting function determining part in order to synchronize the timing of devices in a flexible manner.

Page 4

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the network system is composed of an IEEE 1394 serial bus (Shteyn: col. 1, lines 43-56).
- 7. Regarding claim 3, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the control information obtaining part obtains the control information when a topology of the network changes (Shteyn: col. 2, line 66-col. 3, line 31 and col. 3, line 42-col. 4, line 25).
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part is composed of a control program for controlling the electronic devices and device attribute information of the electronic devices (Shteyn: col. 3, line 51-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 26-42; and col. 4, line 53col. 5, line 1).
- 9. Regarding claim 5, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias suggests using a time setting permission determining part for determining whether the electronic devices permit an external setting operation of the time information (Applicant: pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4) where some devices do not require a time set since the devices already contain an internal clock; wherein the time information setting part sets the time information to the electronic devices

Art Unit: 2665

whose external setting operation has been permitted by the time setting permission determining part (Tobias: col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 12 and col. 7, lines 29-35).

- 10. Regarding claim 6, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses a time setting displaying part for displaying the electronic devices to which said time information setting part is capable of setting the time information (Shteyn: col. 2, lines 36-42 and Tobias: col. 19, lines 36-65).
- 11. Regarding claim 7, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses a time setting selecting part for selecting an electronic device from the electronic devices displayed as devices that are capable of setting the time information by the time setting displaying part (Shteyn: col. 2, lines 36-42 and Tobias: col. 19, lines 36-65).
- 12. Regarding claim 8, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the time information obtaining part obtains the time information from the outside of the network system (Tobias: col. 7, lines 16-20 and col. 7, lines 51-64).
- 13. Regarding claim 9, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses a time compensating function determining part for determining whether the electronic devices have a time compensating function corresponding to time information obtained from the outside, the time compensating function allowing the electronic devices to compensate time thereof (Applicant: pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4); wherein the time information setting part sets the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to the electronic devices determined as devices that do not have the time compensating function by the time compensating function determining part (Tobias: col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 12 and col. 7, lines 29-35), where some devices do not require a time set since the devices already contain an internal clock.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281

Art Unit: 2665

Page 6

14. Regarding claim 10, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias suggests that the time information obtaining part obtains the time information from the electronic devices determined as devices that have the time compensating function by the time compensating function determining part (Applicant: pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4 and Tobias: col. 7, lines 16-20 and col. 7, lines 51-64) where Applicant discloses that the time compensating function has a time source and where Tobias discloses using a time source to set a time for a device such that it would have been obvious to use a time source in the system to set the time.

### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dockter et al. (USPN 5,420,801) see entire document which pertains to synchronization of multimedia streams.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00-4:30 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281

Art Unit: 2665

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIZ

Daniel J. Ryman

Page 7

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**